

Class field theory for open curves over local fields

Toshiro Hiranouchi

June 8, 2016

We study the class field theory for open curves over a local field. After introducing the reciprocity map, we determine the kernel and the cokernel of this map. In addition to this, the Pontrjagin dual of the reciprocity map is also investigated. This gives the one to one correspondence between the set of finite abelian étale coverings and the set of finite index open subgroups of the idèle class group as in the classical class field theory under some assumptions.

1 Introduction

In this note, we present the class field theory for open (=non proper) curves over a local field with arbitrary characteristic. Here, a **local field** means a complete discrete valuation field with finite residue field. For a local field with characteristic 0, a large number of studies have been made even for higher dimensional open varieties over local fields (e.g., [13], [11], [31], and [32]). Accordingly, our main interest is in the case of positive characteristic local fields.

To state our results precisely, let k be a local field with $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$. Let \overline{X} be a proper, smooth and geometrically connected curve over k and X a nonempty open subscheme in \overline{X} . We often say that the pair $X \subset \overline{X}$ is an **open curve** (cf. Def. 3.1). A topological group $C(X)$ which is called the idèle class group, and the reciprocity map $\rho_X : C(X) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)$ are introduced as in [11] (Def. 3.2 and Def. 3.3). In this note, we determine the kernel $\text{Ker}(\rho_X)$ and the cokernel $\text{Coker}_{\text{top}}(\rho_X) := \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)/\overline{\text{Im}(\rho_X)}$ of ρ_X as (Hausdorff) topological groups, where $\overline{\text{Im}(\rho_X)}$ is the topological closure of the image $\text{Im}(\rho_X)$. One of the main results in this note is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Thm. 4.2 and Thm. 4.6). *Let $X \subset \overline{X}$ be as above. For the reciprocity map $\rho_X : C(X) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)$, we have*

- (i) $\text{Coker}_{\text{top}}(\rho_X) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and
- (ii) $\text{Ker}(\rho_X)$ is the maximal l -divisible subgroup of $C(X)$ for all prime number $l \neq p$.

The theorem above is known for $X = \overline{X}$ ([28], [33]) which corresponds to the unramified class field theory. Here, the invariant $r = r(\overline{X})$ called the **rank** of \overline{X} ([28], Def. 2.5) which depends on the type of the reduction of \overline{X} . It is known that the quotient group $\text{Coker}_{\text{top}}(\rho_X) = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)/\overline{\text{Im}}(\rho_X)$ classifies **completely split coverings** of X , that is, finite abelian étale coverings of X in which any closed point $x \in X$ splits completely ([28], Chap. II, Def. 2.1). For example, we have $r = 0$ if \overline{X} has good reduction (see also Thm. 4.1 and its remark).

For a topological abelian group G , we define the **Pontrjagin dual group** by

$$G^\vee := \{ \text{continuous homomorphism } G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \text{ with finite order} \}$$

(cf. Notation). Using this, the reciprocity map ρ_X induces $\rho_X^\vee : \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)^\vee \rightarrow C(X)^\vee$.

Theorem 1.2 (Thm. 5.5). *Let $X \subset \overline{X}$ be as above. We assume $r(\overline{X}) = 0$. Then, the map $\rho_X^\vee : \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)^\vee \rightarrow C(X)^\vee$ is bijective.*

Since $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)$ is compact, the injectivity of ρ_X^\vee in Thm. 1.2 is deduced from Thm. 1.1 (i). However, our idèle class group $C(X)$ may not be locally compact. We have to determine $\text{Ker}(\rho_X)$ and $\text{Coker}(\rho_X^\vee)$ independently. From the second main theorem (Thm. 1.2), under the assumption $r(\overline{X}) = 0$, we have the following one to one correspondence as in the classical class field theory:

$$\{ \text{finite abelian étale covering of } X \} \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \{ \text{finite index open subgroup of } C(X) \}.$$

Contents

The contents of this note is the following:

- Sect. 2: We review some definitions and results of class field theory for 2-dimensional local fields following [14] and [15].
- Sect. 3: For an open curve $X \subset \overline{X}$ over a local field, the idèle class group $C(X)$ and the reciprocity map $\rho_X : C(X) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)$ are introduced (Def. 3.2 and Def. 3.3). We also define the fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, D)$ as a quotient of $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)$ which classifies abelian étale coverings of X with bounded ramification along a given effective Weil divisor D on \overline{X} (Def. 3.4).
- Sect. 4: After recalling the unramified class field theory (Thm. 4.1), we study the structure of the tame fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X) = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, X_\infty)$, where $X_\infty = \sum_{x \in \overline{X} \setminus X} 1[x]$ considering as a Weil divisor on \overline{X} . Using this structure theorem, we prove Thm. 1.1 (=Thm. 4.6).
- Sect. 5: Following the proof of the class field theory for curves over *global fields* ([18], Thm. 3, [19], Thm. 9.1) basically, we show Thm. 1.2 (=Thm. 5.5).

Notation

In this note, a **local field** we mean a complete discrete valuation field with finite residue field. Throughout this note, we use the following notation:

- p : a fixed prime number, and
- \mathbb{N}' : the set of $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ which is prime to p .

For a field F ,

- $\text{char}(F)$: the characteristic of F ,
- \overline{F} : a separable closure of F ,
- $G_F := \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$: the Galois group of the extension \overline{F}/F ,
- F^{ab} : the maximal abelian extension of F in \overline{F} ,
- $G_F^{\text{ab}} := \text{Gal}(F^{\text{ab}}/F)$: the Galois group of F^{ab}/F ,
- $H_{\text{Gal}}^n(F, M)$: the Galois cohomology group of G_F with coefficients in a G_F -module M (cf. [16]), and
- $K_2(F)$: the Milnor K -group of degree 2 which is defined by

$$K_2(F) = (F^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F^\times) / J$$

where J is the subgroup generated by elements of the form $a \otimes (1-a)$ ($a \in F^\times$). The element in $K_2(F)$ represented by $a \otimes b \in F^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F^\times$ is denoted by $\{a, b\}$ (cf. [23]).

Let A be an abelian group whose operation is written additively. The abelian group A is said to be **divisible** if, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and every $x \in A$, there exists $y \in A$ such that $ny = x$. The abelian group A is **l -divisible** for a prime l , if for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and every $x \in A$, there exists $y \in A$ such that $l^n y = x$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we use the following notation on A :

- $A/n :=$ the cokernel of the map $n : A \rightarrow A$ defined by $x \mapsto nx$, and
- A_{tor} : the torsion part of A .

When A is a topological abelian group, define

- A^\vee : the set of all continuous homomorphisms $A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ of *finite order*, where \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is given the discrete topology.

A **curve** over a field F means an integral separated scheme of dimension 1 over $\text{Spec}(F)$. For a connected Noetherian scheme X , we denote by

- $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)$: the abelianization of the étale fundamental group of X ([10]) omitting the base point,
- $H^n(X, \mathcal{F})$: the étale cohomology group of an étale sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , and
- $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(X, \mathcal{F})$: the étale cohomology group of an étale sheaf \mathcal{F} on X with support in \mathbb{Z} .

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by KAKENHI 25800019.

2 Local class field theory

For a field F with $\text{char}(F) = p$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we define

$$H_{\text{Gal}}^q(F, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) := H_{\text{Gal}}^{q-n}(F, W_r \Omega_{F, \log}^n),$$

where $W_r \Omega_{F, \log}^n$ is the Galois module defined by the étale sheaf of the logarithmic part of the de Rham-Witt complex ([12]). Recall that \mathbb{N}' is the set of $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ which is prime to p (cf. Notation). For $m \in \mathbb{N}'$, define $\mathbb{Z}/m(0) := \mathbb{Z}/m$ with the trivial action of G_F , and $\mathbb{Z}/m(n) := \mu_m(\overline{F})^{\otimes n}$ for $n \geq 1$, where $\mu_m(\overline{F})$ is the Galois module of m -th roots of unity in \overline{F} . We define (following [15], Sect. 3.2, Def. 1)

$$H^0(F) := \varinjlim_{m \in \mathbb{N}'} H_{\text{Gal}}^0(F, \mathbb{Z}/m(-1)),$$

where $\mathbb{Z}/m(-1) := \text{Hom}(\mu_m(\overline{F}), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ on which G_F acts by $f \mapsto f \circ \sigma^{-1}$ for $\sigma \in G_F$, $f \in \mathbb{Z}/m(-1)$ (cf. [15], Sect. 1.2). For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$H^n(F) := \varinjlim_{m \in \mathbb{N}'} H_{\text{Gal}}^n(F, \mathbb{Z}/m(n-1)) \oplus \varinjlim_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} H_{\text{Gal}}^n(F, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-1)).$$

Using these, it is known that we have

$$H^1(F) \simeq (G_F)^\vee \simeq (G_F^{\text{ab}})^\vee \quad (1)$$

(cf. [15], Sect. 3.2; see also [27], Chap. 2). From this isomorphism, we identify $H^1(F)$ and $(G_F^{\text{ab}})^\vee$ in the following.

2-dimensional local class field theory

We recall the 2-dimensional local class field theory following [14] and [15]. For detailed expositions on this section, we also recommend [27], Chap. 2.

Definition 2.1. A **2-dimensional local field** is a complete discrete valuation field whose residue field is a local field.

Throughout this section, we fix such a field and use the following notation:

- K : a 2-dimensional local field of $\text{char}(K) = p$,
- $v_K : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$: the valuation of K ,
- $O_K := \{f \in K \mid v_K(f) \geq 0\}$: the valuation ring of K ,

- $\mathfrak{m}_K := \{f \in K \mid v_K(f) > 0\}$: the maximal ideal of O_K ,
- $k := O_K/\mathfrak{m}_K$: the residue field of K , and
- $U_K := O_K^\times$: the group of units in O_K .

The class field theory of K describes the abelian Galois group $G_K^{\text{ab}} = \text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K)$ by a canonical homomorphism $\rho_K : K_2(K) \rightarrow G_K^{\text{ab}}$ called the **reciprocity map** (defined in [15], Sect. 3.2).

Proposition 2.2 ([15], Sect. 3.2, Cor. 1 and 2; see also [27], Sect. 2.1). (i) *We have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_2(K) & \xrightarrow{\rho_K} & G_K^{\text{ab}} \\ \partial_K \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k^\times & \xrightarrow{\rho_k} & G_k^{\text{ab}}, \end{array}$$

where ρ_k is the reciprocity map of k , the right vertical map is the restriction, and ∂_K is the **boundary map** defined by

$$\partial_K(\{f, g\}) := (-1)^{v_K(f)v_K(g)} f^{v_K(g)} g^{-v_K(f)} \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K, \quad (2)$$

for $\{f, g\} \in K_2(K)$.

(ii) *For a finite extension L/K , the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_2(K) & \xrightarrow{\rho_K} & G_K^{\text{ab}} \\ N_{L/K} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{Res}_{L/K} \\ K_2(L) & \xrightarrow{\rho_L} & G_L^{\text{ab}}, \end{array}$$

where $N_{L/K}$ is the norm map, and the right vertical map $\text{Res}_{L/K}$ is the restriction.

(iii) *For a finite extension L/K , the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_2(K) & \xrightarrow{\rho_K} & G_K^{\text{ab}} \\ i_{L/K} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Ver}_{L/K} \\ K_2(L) & \xrightarrow{\rho_L} & G_L^{\text{ab}}, \end{array}$$

where $i_{L/K}$ is the map induced from the inclusion $K \hookrightarrow L$, and the right vertical map $\text{Ver}_{L/K}$ is the transfer map ([26], Sect. 1.5).

The multiplicative group K^\times and the Milnor K -group $K_2(K)$ have good topologies (introduced in [14], Sect. 7, see also [27], Sect. 2.3) and this makes ρ_K continuous. We omit the detailed exposition on the definitions of these topologies. However, under the topologies, the following properties hold:

- (a) The unit group $U_K = O_K^\times$ is open in K^\times .
- (b) The topology on $K_2(K)$ is given by the strongest topology for the so called **symbol map** $K^\times \times K^\times \rightarrow K_2(K); f \otimes g \mapsto \{f, g\}$ is continuous.
- (c) For a finite extension L/K , the norm map ([15], Sect. 1.7) $N_{L/K} : K_2(L) \rightarrow K_2(K)$ is continuous.

Note also that any continuous homomorphism $K_2(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is automatically of finite order with respect to this topology ([15], Sect. 3.5, Rem. 4). Recall that an element $\chi \in H^1(K) = (G_K^{\text{ab}})^\vee$ (1) is said to be **unramified** if the corresponding cyclic extension of K is unramified.

Theorem 2.3 ([15], Sect. 3.1, 3.5; [28], Chap. I, Thm. 3.1). *The reciprocity map ρ_K satisfies the following:*

- (i) *The map ρ_K induces an isomorphism $\rho_K^\vee : H^1(K) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_2(K)^\vee$.*
- (ii) *An element $\chi \in H^1(K)$ is unramified if and only if $\rho_K^\vee(\chi)$ annihilates $U^0 K_2(K) := \text{Ker}(\partial_K)$.*

We denote by I_K the inertia subgroup of G_K^{ab} which is defined by the kernel of the restriction $G_K^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow G_k^{\text{ab}}$. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the reciprocity map ρ_K induces $\rho_{K,m} : K_2(K)/m \rightarrow G_K^{\text{ab}}/m$. Thm. 2.3 (i) implies that the dual of this homomorphism

$$\rho_{K,m}^\vee : (G_K^{\text{ab}}/m)^\vee = H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}/m) \xrightarrow{\cong} (K_2(K)/m)^\vee \quad (3)$$

is bijective. The following theorem says that $\rho_{K,m}$ is injective for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Theorem 2.4 ([6], Thm. 4.5, see also [5], Thm. 2). *$\text{Ker}(\rho_K)$ is divisible.*

Ramification theory

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, let $U_K^m = 1 + \mathfrak{m}_K^m$ be the higher unit groups of K . Denote by $U^m K_2(K)$ the subgroup of $K_2(K)$ generated by the image of $U_K^m \times K^\times$ in $K_2(K)$ by the symbol map. We also have an increasing filtration $\{\text{fil}_m H^q(K)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ on $H^q(K)$ ([17], Def. 2.1) with $H^q(K) = \cup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \text{fil}_m H^q(K)$. In particular, we have $\text{fil}_0 H^1(K) \simeq H^1(k) \oplus H^0(k)$ and this subgroup corresponding to tamely ramified abelian extensions of K ([15], Thm. 3; [17], Prop. 6.1). This filtration on $H^1(K)$ induces the ramification filtration $\{I_K^m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ on G_K^{ab} , which is defined by $I_K^0 := I_K$ and

$$I_K^m := \{\sigma \in G_K^{\text{ab}} \mid \chi(\sigma) = 0 \text{ for all } \chi \in \text{fil}_{m-1} H^1(K)\}$$

for $m \geq 1$. The description of $\text{fil}_0 H^1(K)$ implies that $I_K^m \subset I_K = I_K^0$ for $m \geq 1$ and I_K^1 is the wild inertia subgroup of G_K^{ab} , that is, the maximal pro- p subgroup of the inertia subgroup I_K .

Proposition 2.5 ([17], Prop. 6.5, see also Rem. 6.6). *For $\chi \in H^1(K)$, χ is in $\text{fil}_m H^1(K)$ if and only if $\rho_K^\vee(\chi) \in K_2(K)^\vee$ annihilates $U^{m+1}K_2(K)$.*

From Prop. 2.5, ρ_K induces $U^m K_2(K) \rightarrow I_K^m$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In our case of $\text{char}(K) = p$, it is known $I_K^{m+1} = G_{K, \log}^{\text{ab}, m+}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where the right is the induced group from Abbes-Saito's logarithmic version of ramification subgroups on the absolute Galois group $G_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ ([1], see also [2], Cor. 9.12).

3 Curves over local fields

Let k be a local field of $\text{char}(k) = p$.

Definition 3.1. We call the pair $X \subset \overline{X}$ of

- \overline{X} : a smooth, proper and geometrically connected curve over k , and
- X : a nonempty open subscheme of \overline{X}

an **open curve** over k .

Since the smooth compactification \overline{X} of a smooth curve X is unique if it exists by the valuative criterion of properness, we often omit \overline{X} and write X solely as an open curve in the above sense.

For an open curve X over k , we also define

- $X_\infty := \overline{X} \setminus X$,
- X_0 : the set of closed points in X , and
- $k(X)$: the function field of X .

For a closed point $x \in \overline{X}_0$, we denote by

- $k(x)$: the residue field at x which is a finite extension of k , and
- $k(X)_x$: the completion of $k(X)$ at x which is a 2-dimensional local field (Def. 2.1) with residue field $k(x)$.

Idèle Class Groups

We fix an open curve X over k and introduce the idèle class group and the reciprocity map for X .

Definition 3.2. The **idèle class group** $C(X)$ is defined to be the cokernel of

$$\partial : K_2(k(X)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} k(x)^\times \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} K_2(k(X)_x)$$

which is given by the direct sum of the following homomorphisms:

- the boundary map (2) $\partial_x := \partial_{k(X)_x} : K_2(k(X)_x) \rightarrow k(x)^\times$ for $x \in X_0$, and
- $K_2(k(X)) \rightarrow K_2(k(X)_x)$ induced by the inclusion $i_x : k(X) \hookrightarrow k(X)_x$ for $x \in X_\infty$, and

The restricted product $\prod_{x \in \overline{X}_0} K_2(k(X)_x)$ with respect to the closed subgroup $U^0 K_2(k(X)_x) = \ker(\partial_x)$ has a structure of a topological group induced from the topology on $K_2(k(X)_x)$ (*cf.* Sect. 2) as in the classical class field theory (*cf.* [28], Chap. I, Sect. 3). The idèle class group $C(X)$ is a quotient of $\prod_{x \in \overline{X}_0} K_2(k(X)_x)$ and is endowed with the quotient topology.

The abelian fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)$ has a description as a Galois group: we have $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X) \simeq \text{Gal}(k(X)^{\text{ur}}/k(X))$, where $k(X)^{\text{ur}}$ is generated by all finite separable extensions E of $k(X)$ contained in $k(X)^{\text{ab}}$ satisfying that the normalization $\tilde{X}^E \rightarrow X$ of X in E is unramified (*cf.* [10] Exp. V, 8.2). In particular, we have $k(X)^{\text{ur}} \subset k(X)^{\text{ab}}$ so that the restriction gives $G_{k(X)}^{\text{ab}} = \text{Gal}(k(X)^{\text{ab}}/k(X)) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)$. The 2-dimensional local class field theory $\rho_{k(X)_x} : K_2(k(X)_x) \rightarrow G_{k(X)_x}^{\text{ab}}$ and the restriction $G_{k(X)_x}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow G_{k(X)}^{\text{ab}}$ induce a continuous homomorphism

$$\prod_{x \in \overline{X}_0} K_2(k(X)_x) \longrightarrow G_{k(X)}^{\text{ab}} \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X).$$

By the reciprocity law of $k(X) = k(\overline{X})$ ([28], Chap. II, Prop. 1.2) and the 2-dimensional local class field theory (Thm. 2.3), this factors through $C(X)$.

Definition 3.3. The induced continuous homomorphism $\rho_X : C(X) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)$ is called the **reciprocity map** of X .

We denote by

$$\text{Coker}_{\text{top}}(\rho_X) := \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X) / \overline{\text{Im}(\rho_X)}, \quad (4)$$

where $\overline{\text{Im}(\rho_X)}$ is the topological closure of $\text{Im}(\rho_X)$.

The norm map $N_{k(x)/k} : k(x)^\times \rightarrow k^\times$ for $x \in X_0$ and the composition $N_{k(x)/k} \circ \partial_x : K_2(k(X)_x) \rightarrow k^\times$ for $x \in X_\infty$ induce a homomorphism $N_X : C(X) \rightarrow k^\times$. They make

the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & C(X)^0 & \longrightarrow & C(X) & \xrightarrow{N_X} & k^\times \\
& & \downarrow \rho_X^0 & & \downarrow \rho_X & & \downarrow \rho_k \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)^0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\text{Spec}(k)) = G_k^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow 0,
\end{array} \tag{5}$$

where φ is the induced homomorphism from the structure morphism $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ ([9], Sect. 3.3) and the groups $C(X)^0$ and $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)^0$ are defined by the exactness of the horizontal rows.

Restricted ramification

For the open curve X , let $D = \sum_{x \in X_\infty} m_x[x]$ be an effective Weil divisor on \overline{X} with support $|D| \subset X_\infty = \overline{X} \setminus X$.

Definition 3.4. By putting $m_x = 0$ if $x \notin |D|$, we define the abelian fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, D)$ with bounded ramification by

$$\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, D) = \text{Coker} \left(\bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} I_{k(X)_x}^{m_x} \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} G_{k(X)_x}^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X) \right),$$

where $I_{k(X)_x}^{m_x}$ is the ramification subgroup of $G_{k(X)_x}^{\text{ab}} = \text{Gal}(k(X)_x^{\text{ab}}/k(X)_x)$ (Sect. 2).

By Prop. 2.5, the composite $C(X) \xrightarrow{\rho_X} \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, D)$ factors through

$$C(X, D) := \text{Coker} \left(\bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} U^{m_x} K_2(k(X)_x) \longrightarrow C(X) \right)$$

and the induced homomorphism is denoted by $\rho_{X,D} : C(X, D) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, D)$. Furthermore, the norm maps $N_{k(x)/k} : k(x)^\times \rightarrow k^\times$ define $N_{X,D} : C(X, D) \rightarrow k^\times$ and the following diagram is commutative as in (5):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & C(X, D)^0 & \longrightarrow & C(X, D) & \xrightarrow{N_{X,D}} & k^\times \\
& & \downarrow \rho_{X,D}^0 & & \downarrow \rho_{X,D} & & \downarrow \rho_k \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, D)^0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, D) & \longrightarrow & G_k^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array} \tag{6}$$

Here, the groups $C(X, D)^0$ and $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, D)^0$ are defined by the exactness of the horizontal rows.

Consider $X_\infty = \sum_{x \in X_\infty} 1[x]$ as a Weil divisor. Recalling that $I_{k(X)_x}^1$ is the wild inertia subgroup, the groups

$$\pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X) := \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, X_\infty), \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X)^0 := \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, X_\infty)^0 \quad (7)$$

classify **tame coverings** of X , that is, finite étale coverings over X and ramify at most tamely along the boundary X_∞ . We also employ the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_X^{\text{t}} &:= \rho_{X, X_\infty} : C^{\text{t}}(X) := C(X, X_\infty) \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X), & \text{and} \\ \rho_X^{\text{t},0} &:= \rho_{X, X_\infty}^0 : C^{\text{t}}(X)^0 := C(X, X_\infty)^0 \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X)^0. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Functorial properties

We define the pullback and the norm homomorphism on the idèle class groups with respect to étale coverings of open curves in the following sense.

Definition 3.5. An **étale covering** $f : Y \rightarrow X$ of open curves is defined to be the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \hookrightarrow & \overline{Y} & \longleftarrow & Y_\infty \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \overline{f} & & \downarrow \\ X & \hookrightarrow & \overline{X} & \longleftarrow & X_\infty, \end{array} \quad (9)$$

where the horizontal maps are the inclusions, \overline{f} is a morphism of schemes over $\text{Spec}(k)$ and, f is a finite étale morphism of schemes over $\text{Spec}(k)$. The right commutative square in (9) means $\overline{f}(Y_\infty) \subset X_\infty$.

In the following, we fix $f : Y \rightarrow X$ an étale covering of open curves over k .

Definition 3.6. We define a canonical homomorphism $i_{Y/X} := f^* : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ as follows:

- For $x \in X_0$ and $y \in Y_0$ with $f(y) = x$, the inclusion $k(x) \hookrightarrow k(y)$ gives $i_{k(y)/k(x)} : k(x)^\times \hookrightarrow k(y)^\times$.
- For $x \in X_\infty$, and $y \in Y_\infty$ with $\overline{f}(y) = x$, the inclusion map $k(X)_x \hookrightarrow k(Y)_y$ gives $i_{k(Y)_y/k(X)_x} : K_2(k(X)_x) \rightarrow K_2(k(Y)_y)$.

These maps give a canonical homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X_0} k(x)^\times \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} K_2(k(X)_x) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in Y_0} k(y)^\times \oplus \bigoplus_{y \in Y_\infty} K_2(k(Y)_y).$$

Since the homomorphism $K_2(k(X)) \rightarrow K_2(k(Y))$ induced from $k(X) \hookrightarrow k(Y)$ is compatible with above homomorphisms, we obtain $i_{Y/X}$.

Definition 3.7. We define the **norm map** $N_{Y/X} := f_* : C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$ as follows:

- For $y \in Y_0$ with $x = f(y)$, we have the norm homomorphism $N_{k(y)/k(x)} : k(y)^\times \rightarrow k(x)^\times$.
- For $y \in Y_\infty$ with $x = \bar{f}(y)$, we have the norm map $N_{k(Y)_y/k(X)_x} : K_2(k(Y)_y) \rightarrow K_2(k(X)_x)$.

These maps give a canonical homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{y \in Y_0} k(y)^\times \oplus \bigoplus_{y \in Y_\infty} K_2(k(Y)_y) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} k(x)^\times \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} K_2(k(X)_x).$$

Since the norm $N_{k(Y)/k(X)} : K_2(k(Y)) \rightarrow K_2(k(X))$ is compatible with above norms, we obtain $N_{Y/X}$.

Lemma 3.8. We have $N_{Y/X} \circ i_{Y/X} = [k(Y) : k(X)] \cdot \text{id}_{C(X)}$, where $\text{id}_{C(X)}$ is the identity map of $C(X)$.

Proof. The projection formula of the Milnor K -groups (e.g., [24], Sect. 14) gives

$$N_{k(Y)_y/k(X)_x} \circ i_{k(Y)_y/k(X)_x} = [k(Y)_y : k(X)_x] \cdot \text{id}_{K_2(k(X)_x)}.$$

The assertion follows from the equality

$$[k(Y) : k(X)] = \sum_{y \in \bar{f}^{-1}(x)} [k(Y)_y : k(X)_x]$$

for a closed point $x \in \bar{X}_0$ ([30], Chap. I, Sect. 4, Prop. 10). \square

From the construction of ρ_X and the properties of ρ_{K_x} for each $x \in \bar{X}_0$ given in Prop. 2.2, we obtain the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X) & \xrightarrow{\rho_X} & \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X) \\ N_{Y/X} \uparrow & & \uparrow \varphi \\ C(Y) & \xrightarrow{\rho_Y} & \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(Y) \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C(X) & \xrightarrow{\rho_X} & \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X) \\ i_{Y/X} \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ C(Y) & \xrightarrow{\rho_Y} & \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(Y) \end{array} \quad (10)$$

where φ is the induced homomorphism of the fundamental groups from f and ψ is given by the transfer map.

4 Proof of Thm. 1.1

In this section, we prove Thm. 1.1 using the following notation:

- k : a local field of $\text{char}(k) = p$, and
- $X \subset \overline{X}$: an open curve over k in the sense of Def. 3.1.

Unramified class field theory

We recall the class field theory for the projective smooth curve \overline{X} following [28] and [33]. Note that the idèle class groups $C(\overline{X})$ and $C(\overline{X})^0$ are denoted by $SK_1(\overline{X})$ and $V(\overline{X})$ respectively in *op. cit.*

Theorem 4.1 ([28], Chap. II, Thm. 2.6, 5.1, Prop. 3.5, and Thm. 4.1; [33], Thm. 5.1). *For the reciprocity map $\rho_{\overline{X}} : C(\overline{X}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})$, we have:*

- (i) $\text{Coker}_{\text{top}}(\rho_{\overline{X}}) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{r(\overline{X})}$ for some $r(\overline{X}) \geq 0$,
- (ii) $\text{Ker}(\rho_{\overline{X}})$ and $\text{Ker}(\rho_{\overline{X}}^0)$ are the maximal divisible subgroups of $C(\overline{X})$ and $C(\overline{X})^0$ respectively,
- (iii) $\# \text{Im}(\rho_{\overline{X}}^0) < \infty$, and $\text{Coker}(\rho_{\overline{X}}^0) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{r(\overline{X})}$.

Here, the invariant $r(\overline{X})$ is determined by the special fiber of the Néron model of the Jacobian variety of \overline{X} which is called the **rank** of \overline{X} ([28], Chap. II, Def. 2.5, see also *op. cit.*, Chap. II, Thm. 6.2).

Thm. 4.1 gives the structure of the fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})^0$ as in the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})_{\text{tor}}^0 = \text{Im}(\rho_{\overline{X}}^0) \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})^0 \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{r(\overline{X})} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (11)$$

where $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})_{\text{tor}}^0$ is the torsion part of $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})^0$ which is finite.

Tame fundamental groups

The goal of this paragraph is to determine the structure of the abelian tame fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X) = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, X_{\infty})$ (7) as in (11).

Theorem 4.2. $\text{Coker}_{\text{top}}(\rho_X) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{r(\overline{X})}$, where $r(\overline{X})$ is the rank of \overline{X} .

Proof. For any $x \in X_{\infty} = \overline{X} \setminus X$, put $Y := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X},x}^{\wedge})$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X},x}^{\wedge}$ is the completion of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X},x}$. The localization sequence of the étale cohomology groups on $i : x \hookrightarrow Y$ ([9], Prop. 5.6.12) gives an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(Y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^1(\text{Spec}(k(X)_x), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_x^2(Y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

In terms of the Galois cohomology groups ([9], Prop. 5.7.8), we have

$$H^n(Y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow[i^*]{\simeq} H^n(x, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_{\text{Gal}}^n(k(x), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

(the first isomorphism follows from [3], Exp. XII, Rem. 6.13) and $H^n(\text{Spec}(k(X)_x), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_{\text{Gal}}^n(k(X)_x, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. By the Tate duality theorem for local fields ([26], Thm. 7.2.6) (for prime to the p -part) and the dimension reason ([26], Prop. 6.5.10) (for the p -part), we have

$$H_{\text{Gal}}^2(k(x), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0. \quad (12)$$

The excision theorem induces $H_x^2(Y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_x^2(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ (cf. [9], Prop. 5.6.12). We also have $H_{\text{Gal}}^1(k(X)_x, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^1(k(X)_x, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ (1). Thus, we obtain the commutative diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(k(x)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(k(X)_x) & \longrightarrow & H_x^2(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \simeq \downarrow \rho_{k(x)}^\vee & & \simeq \downarrow \rho_{k(X)_x}^\vee & & \downarrow \phi_x \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (k(x)^\times)^\vee & \xrightarrow{\partial_x^\vee} & K_2(k(X)_x)^\vee & \longrightarrow & U^0 K_2(k(X)_x)^\vee \end{array}, \quad (13)$$

where $\rho_{k(x)}$ and $\rho_{k(X)_x}$ are the reciprocity maps of $k(x)$ and $k(X)_x$ respectively (Thm. 2.3). Here, the bottom sequence is exact. Using a canonical isomorphism

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)^\vee \quad (14)$$

([4], Exp. 1, Sect. 2.2.1, or [9], Prop. 5.7.20), we consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} H_x^2(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ & & \downarrow \rho_{\overline{X}}^\vee & & \downarrow \rho_X^\vee & & \downarrow \bigoplus \phi_x \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C(\overline{X})^\vee & \longrightarrow & C(X)^\vee & \xrightarrow{i} & \bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} U^0 K_2(k(X)_x)^\vee. \end{array} \quad (15)$$

Here, the upper horizontal sequence is the localization sequence associated to $X_\infty \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$. The diagram (15) gives $\text{Ker}(\rho_{\overline{X}}^\vee) \simeq \text{Ker}(\rho_X^\vee)$. By Thm. 4.1 (i), we obtain

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^r(\overline{X}) \simeq \text{Coker}_{\text{top}}(\rho_{\overline{X}}) \simeq \text{Ker}(\rho_{\overline{X}}^\vee)^\vee \simeq \text{Ker}(\rho_X^\vee)^\vee \simeq \text{Coker}_{\text{top}}(\rho_X).$$

The assertion follows from this. \square

For any effective Weil divisor D on \overline{X} whose support $|D| \subset X_\infty$, we have canonical surjective homomorphisms

$$\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, D) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})$$

from the very definition of $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, D)$ (Def. 3.4). The above Thm. 4.2 and Thm. 4.1 (i) imply also

$$\text{Coker}_{\text{top}}(\rho_{X,D}) := \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, D)/\overline{\text{Im}(\rho_{X,D})} \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^r(\overline{X}). \quad (16)$$

Lemma 4.3. *For the map $\rho_X^{\text{t},0} : C^{\text{t}}(X)^0 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{t},\text{ab}}(X)^0$ (8), we have $\#\text{Im}(\rho_X^{\text{t},0}) < \infty$.*

Proof. For each $x \in X_\infty$, let $I_{k(X)_x} = I_{k(X)_x}^0$ be the inertia subgroup of $G_{k(X)_x}^{\text{ab}}$, that is, the kernel of the restriction $G_{k(X)_x}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow G_{k(x)}^{\text{ab}}$. Thm. 2.3 and Prop. 2.5 imply that $\rho_{k(X)_x}$ induces $U^0 K_2(k(X)_x)/U^1 K_2(k(X)_x) \rightarrow I_{k(X)_x}^0/I_{k(X)_x}^1$. This gives the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} U^0 K_2(k(X)_x)/U^1 K_2(k(X)_x) & \longrightarrow & C^{\text{t}}(X)^0 & \longrightarrow & C(\overline{X})^0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \rho_X^{\text{t},0} & & \downarrow \rho_{\overline{X}}^0 & & \\ \bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} I_{k(X)_x}^0/I_{k(X)_x}^1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{t},\text{ab}}(X)^0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})^0 & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where φ is the induced homomorphism from the open immersion $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$. For each $x \in X_\infty$, we have

- $\partial_x : K_2(k(X)_x)/U^0 K_2(k(X)_x) \xrightarrow{\sim} k(x)^\times$ (by $U^0 K_2(k(X)_x) = \text{Ker}(\partial_x)$), and
- $K_2(k(X)_x)/U^1 K_2(k(X)_x) \simeq K_2(k(x)) \oplus k(x)^\times$ (cf. [7], Chap. IX, Prop. 2.2).

These isomorphisms give $U^0 K_2(k(X)_x)/U^1 K_2(k(X)_x) \simeq K_2(k(x))$. By Merkrjev's theorem ([7], Chap. IX, Thm. 4.3), $K_2(k(x))$ is the sum of a finite group and a divisible subgroup. By Thm. 2.3, $\rho_{k(X)_x}^\vee$ induces an injective homomorphism $(I_{k(X)_x}^0/I_{k(X)_x}^1)^\vee \hookrightarrow (U^0 K_2(k(X)_x)/U^1 K_2(k(X)_x))^\vee$. Therefore, the quotient $I_{k(X)_x}^0/I_{k(X)_x}^1$ is finite and so is $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$. The assertion $\#\text{Im}(\rho_X^{\text{t},0}) < \infty$ follows from $\#\text{Im}(\rho_{\overline{X}}^0) < \infty$ (Thm. 4.1, (iii)). \square

From Lem. 4.3 and (16), we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{t},\text{ab}}(X)_{\text{tor}}^0 = \text{Im}(\rho_X^{\text{t},0}) \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{t},\text{ab}}(X)^0 \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^r(\overline{X}) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (17)$$

Open curves

The rest of this section is devoted to show Thm. 1.1 (ii) (=Thm. 4.6 below). Recall $\mathbb{N}' = \{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \mid m \text{ is prime to } p\}$, and, the reciprocity map ρ_X induces $\rho_{X,m} : C(X)/m \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)/m$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Lemma 4.4. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}'$, $\rho_{X,m} : C(X)/m \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)/m$ is injective.*

Proof. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}'$, we have $H_c^3(X, \mathbb{Z}/m(2)) = H^3(\overline{X}, j_! \mathbb{Z}/m(2))$ ([9], Sect. 7.4), where $\mathbb{Z}/m(n) = \mu_m^{\otimes n}$ and $j : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ is the open immersion. We define a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
K_2(k(X))/m & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} k(x)^\times/m \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} K_2(k(X)_x)/m & \longrightarrow & C(X)/m \longrightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow h & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_{\text{Gal}}^2(k(X), \mathbb{Z}/m(2)) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in \overline{X}_0} H_x^3(\overline{X}, j_! \mathbb{Z}/m(2)) & \longrightarrow & H^3(\overline{X}, j_! \mathbb{Z}/m(2)) .
\end{array}$$

Here, the horizontal sequences are exact, and the left vertical map h is bijective by the Merkurjev-Suslin theorem [20]. The middle vertical map is also bijective from the following facts:

- For $x \in X_0$, the Kummer theory gives $K_1(k(x))/m \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{Gal}}^1(k(x), \mathbb{Z}/m(1)) \simeq H_x^3(\overline{X}, j_! \mathbb{Z}/m(2))$, where the latter isomorphism follows from the excision theorem ([9], Prop. 5.6.12): $H_x^3(\overline{X}, j_! \mathbb{Z}/m(2)) \simeq H_x^3(X, \mathbb{Z}/m(2))$, the purity theorem ([9], Cor. 8.5.6) for the closed immersion $i : x \hookrightarrow X$:

$$R^t i^! \mathbb{Z}/m(2) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \neq 2, \\ i^* \mathbb{Z}/m(1), & t = 2, \end{cases}$$

and the Leray spectral sequence ([9], Prop. 5.6.11): $E_2^{s,t} = H^s(x, R^t i^! \mathbb{Z}/m(2)) \Rightarrow H_x^{s+t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m(2))$.

- For $x \in X_\infty$, the Merkurjev-Suslin theorem again gives

$$K_2(k(X)_x)/m \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{Gal}}^2(k(X)_x, \mathbb{Z}/m(2)) \simeq H_x^3(\overline{X}, j_! \mathbb{Z}/m(2)).$$

Here, the latter isomorphism is given by the excision theorem ([21], Chap. III, Cor. 1.28):

$$H_x^3(\overline{X}, j_! \mathbb{Z}/m(2)) \simeq H_x^3(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X},x}^h), j_! \mathbb{Z}/m(2)),$$

and [22], Chap. II, Prop. 1.1:

$$H_x^3(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X},x}^h), j_! \mathbb{Z}/m(2)) \simeq H_{\text{Gal}}^2(k(X)_x^h, \mathbb{Z}/m(2)) \simeq H_{\text{Gal}}^2(k(X)_x, \mathbb{Z}/m(2)),$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X},x}^h$ is the henselization of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X},x}$, and $k(X)_x^h$ is its fraction field. Thus, the induced homomorphism $C(X)/m \rightarrow H_c^3(X, \mathbb{Z}/m(2))$ is injective from the above diagram. By the duality theorem ([29]), we have $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)/m \simeq H_c^3(X, \mathbb{Z}/m(2))$ so that $\rho_{X,m} : C(X)/m \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)/m$ is injective. \square

Before proving Thm. 1.1 (ii) (=Thm. 4.6 below), we prepare some notation (following [8], Sect. 3) and quote a lemma from [13]. For a set of primes \mathbb{L} with $p \notin \mathbb{L}$, define

- $\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{L}) := \{m \in \mathbb{N}' \mid \text{the prime divisors in } \mathbb{L}\}$ as a sub monoid of \mathbb{N}' .

For an abelian group G , the natural surjective homomorphisms $G \rightarrow G/m$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{L})$ induces a homomorphism

$$\phi_{G,\mathbb{L}} : G \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{L}} := \varprojlim_{m \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{L})} G/m. \quad (18)$$

Lemma 4.5 ([13], Lem. 7.7). *Let A be an abelian group, $\{B_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{L})}$ a projective system of abelian groups, and a morphism $\{\varphi_m : A/m \rightarrow B_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{L})}$ of the projective systems. Put $B_{\mathbb{L}} := \varprojlim_{m \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{L})} B_m$. If we assume that*

- (a) φ_m is injective for all $m \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{L})$, and
- (b) there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{L})$ such that $N \cdot (B_{\mathbb{L}})_{\text{tor}} = 0$,

then $\text{Ker}(\phi_{A,\mathbb{L}} : A \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{L}})$ is l -divisible for any prime $l \in \mathbb{L}$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let k be a local field of $\text{char}(k) = p$, and $X \subset \overline{X}$ an open curve over k . Then $\text{Ker}(\rho_X)$ is the maximal l -divisible subgroup of $C(X)$ for all prime number $l \neq p$.*

Proof. Since any profinite group does not contain non-trivial divisible elements, it is enough to show that, for any set of primes \mathbb{L} with $p \notin \mathbb{L}$, $\text{Ker}(\rho_X)$ is l -divisible for all $l \in \mathbb{L}$. From Lem. 4.4, we have an injective homomorphism $\rho_{X,\mathbb{L}} := \varprojlim_{m \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{L})} \rho_{X,m} : C(X)_{\mathbb{L}} \hookrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)_{\mathbb{L}}$ which commutes with ρ_X as in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X) & \xrightarrow{\rho_X} & \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X) \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ C(X)_{\mathbb{L}} & \xrightarrow{\rho_{X,\mathbb{L}}} & \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)_{\mathbb{L}}, \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the natural one $\psi = \phi_{C(X),\mathbb{L}}$ and $\phi = \phi_{\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X),\mathbb{L}}$ defined in (18). This diagram gives an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\rho_X) \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\psi) \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\phi). \quad (19)$$

Claim. For any prime number $l \in \mathbb{L}$, we have

- (i) $\text{Ker}(\psi)$ is l -divisible, and
- (ii) $\text{Ker}(\phi)$ is l -torsion free, that is, if we have $lx = 0$ with $x \in \text{Ker}(\phi)$ then $x = 0$.

Proof. (i) Put $A := C(X)$, $B_m := \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)/m$ and $\varphi_m := \rho_{X,m} : A/m \rightarrow B_m$. Using Lem. 4.5, we show that $\text{Ker}(\psi) = \text{Ker}(\phi_{A,\mathbb{L}})$ is l -divisible for any $l \in \mathbb{L}$. By Lem. 4.4, $\varphi_m = \rho_{X,m}$ is injective for all $m \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{L})$: the condition (a) in Lem. 4.5 holds.

The tame fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X)$ is defined by the wild inertia subgroups $I_{k(X)_x}^1$ for $x \in X_\infty$ in Def. 3.4 and (7). This group $I_{k(X)_x}^1$ is pro- p so that we have $B_m = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)/m \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X)/m$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{L})$. Taking the inverse limit,

$$B_{\mathbb{L}} = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)_{\mathbb{L}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X)_{\mathbb{L}}. \quad (20)$$

By local class field theory (of k) and the structure of the base field k (e.g., [25], Prop. 5.7 (ii)), $(G_k^{\text{ab}})_{\mathbb{L}}$ is (topologically) finitely generated. For $(\pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X)^0)_{\mathbb{L}}$ is finitely generated (17), so is $B_{\mathbb{L}}$ by (20). Using the finiteness of the torsion part $(B_{\mathbb{L}})_{\text{tor}}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{L})$ such that $N \cdot (B_{\mathbb{L}})_{\text{tor}} = 0$: the condition (b) in Lem. 4.5 holds. The claim (i) follows from Lem. 4.5.

(ii) Putting $\phi^{\text{t}} = \phi_{\pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X), \mathbb{L}}$ (18), the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X) & \twoheadrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X) \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi^{\text{t}} \\ \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)_{\mathbb{L}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X)_{\mathbb{L}} \end{array}$$

induces a short exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} I_{k(X)_x}^1 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\phi) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\phi^{\text{t}}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Recall that the wild inertia subgroup $I_{k(X)_x}^1$ is pro- p , in particular, l -torsion free. It is enough to show that $\text{Ker}(\phi^{\text{t}})$ is l -torsion free. We further consider the commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X)^0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X) & \longrightarrow & G_k^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \phi^{\text{t},0} & & \downarrow \phi^{\text{t}} & & \downarrow \phi_k \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X)^0)_{\mathbb{L}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X)_{\mathbb{L}} & \longrightarrow & (G_k^{\text{ab}})_{\mathbb{L}}, \end{array}$$

where $\phi^{\text{t},0} := \phi_{\pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X)^0, \mathbb{L}}$ and $\phi_k := \phi_{G_k^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{L}}$ (18). Since $\pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X)^0$ is finitely generated (17), $\text{Ker}(\phi^{\text{t},0})$ is l -torsion free. By local class field theory, $\text{Ker}(\phi_k)$ is also l -torsion free. Therefore, the same holds on $\text{Ker}(\phi^{\text{t}})$. \square

(Proof of Thm. 4.6 - continued) By the exact sequence (19) and the claim above, $\text{Ker}(\rho_X)$ is l -divisible for any prime $l \in \mathbb{L}$ as required. \square

Restricted Ramification

In closing this section, we derive the class field theory with *modulus* from Thm. 4.6 above.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $D \geq 0$ be an effective Weil divisor on \overline{X} with support $|D| \subset X_\infty$. For $\rho_{X,D} : C(X, D) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X, D)$, we have:*

- (i) $\text{Ker}(\rho_{X,D})$ is the maximal l -divisible subgroup of $C(X, D)$ for any prime $l \neq p$, and
- (ii) $\text{Coker}_{\text{top}}(\rho_{X,D}) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{r(\overline{X})}$.

Proof. The assertion (ii) is already given in (16). Furthermore, the surjective homomorphism $C(X) \twoheadrightarrow C(X, D)$ gives a homomorphism $\text{Ker}(\rho_X) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Ker}(\rho_{X,D})$ which is also surjective. From Thm. 4.6, $\text{Ker}(\rho_{X,D})$ is l -divisible for a prime $l \neq p$. Since profinite groups contain no non-trivial divisible elements, the assertion (i) follows. \square

5 Proof of Thm. 1.2

We keep the notation of Section 4.

Unramified class field theory

Corollary 5.1. *The induced homomorphism $\rho_X^\vee : H^1(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(\overline{X})^\vee$ from the reciprocity map $\rho_{\overline{X}}$ satisfies the following:*

- (i) $\text{Ker}(\rho_X^\vee) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^{r(\overline{X})}$, and
- (ii) ρ_X^\vee is surjective.

Proof. The assertion (i) follows from Thm. 4.1 (i). By Thm. 4.1 (ii), ρ_X^0 defined in (5) induces an injection $\rho_{X,m}^0 : C(\overline{X})^0/m \hookrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})^0/m$. Since the quotient $C(\overline{X})^0/m$ is finite (Thm. 4.1 (iii)), we obtain the surjective homomorphism

$$(\rho_{X,m}^0)^\vee : (\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})^0/m)^\vee \twoheadrightarrow (C(\overline{X})^0/m)^\vee \quad (21)$$

on the dual groups for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Now, we show that $(\rho_X^0)^\vee : (\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})^0)^\vee \rightarrow (C(\overline{X})^0)^\vee$ is surjective. Take a character $\varphi \in (C(\overline{X})^0)^\vee$. By the very definition of $(C(\overline{X})^0)^\vee$, the character φ has finite order (cf. Notation). Hence, there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $\varphi_m \in (C(\overline{X})^0/m)^\vee$ such that φ is the image of φ_m by the natural map

$(C(\overline{X})^0/m)^\vee \rightarrow (C(\overline{X})^0)^\vee$. Since $(\rho_{\overline{X},m}^0)^\vee$ is surjective (21), there exists $\chi_m \in (\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})^0/m)^\vee$ such that $(\rho_{\overline{X},m}^0)^\vee(\chi_m) = \varphi_m$. From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})^0/m)^\vee & \longrightarrow & (\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})^0)^\vee \\ (\rho_{\overline{X},m}^0)^\vee \downarrow & & \downarrow (\rho_{\overline{X}}^0)^\vee \\ (C(\overline{X})^0/m)^\vee & \longrightarrow & (C(\overline{X})^0)^\vee, \end{array}$$

the image χ of χ_m by $(\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})^0/m)^\vee \rightarrow (\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})^0)^\vee$ gives $\varphi = (\rho_{\overline{X}}^0)^\vee(\chi)$. Hence, $(\rho_{\overline{X}}^0)^\vee$ is surjective.

On the other hand, the commutative diagram (5) and the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence $H_{\text{Gal}}^s(k, H^t(\overline{X}_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \Rightarrow H^{s+t}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ associated with the projection $\overline{X}_{\overline{k}} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ (cf. [3], Exp. VIII, Cor. 8.5) give the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H_{\text{Gal}}^1(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & H^1(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & H^1(\overline{X}_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^{G_k} \rightarrow H_{\text{Gal}}^2(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ & & \simeq \downarrow \rho_k^\vee & & \downarrow \rho_X^\vee & & \downarrow \\ & & (k^\times)^\vee & \xrightarrow{N_{\overline{X}}} & C(\overline{X})^\vee & \longrightarrow & (C(\overline{X})^0)^\vee \end{array} \quad (22)$$

Here, for a G_k -module M , we denote by M^{G_k} the G_k -invariant submodule of M and $H_{\text{Gal}}^2(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ as in (12). By local class field theory, the left vertical map ρ_k^\vee in (22) is bijective. Because of $H_{\text{Gal}}^1(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq (G_k^{\text{ab}})^\vee$, and $H^1(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})^\vee$, we obtain $H^1(\overline{X}_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^{G_k} \simeq (\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})^0)^\vee$. The right vertical map in the diagram (22) coincides with $(\rho_{\overline{X}}^0)^\vee$ and is surjective by (21). Therefore, ρ_X^\vee is surjective. \square

Corollary 5.2. *We assume that we have $r(\overline{X}) = 0$. Then $\rho_{\overline{X},m}^\vee : H^1(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow (C(\overline{X})/m)^\vee$ is bijective for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.*

Proof. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/m) & \hookrightarrow & H^1(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow \rho_{\overline{X},m}^\vee & & \simeq \downarrow \rho_X^\vee \\ (C(\overline{X})/m)^\vee & \hookrightarrow & C(\overline{X})^\vee, \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are induced from $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(\overline{X})/m$ and $C(\overline{X}) \twoheadrightarrow C(\overline{X})/m$. The assertion follows from Cor. 5.1. \square

Open curves

Recall that $X \subset \overline{X}$ is a non-empty open subscheme and ρ_X induces $\rho_X^\vee : H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(X)^\vee$ and $\rho_{X,m}^\vee : H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow (C(X)/m)^\vee$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Proposition 5.3. *Assume that $r(\overline{X}) = 0$. Then $\rho_{X,m}^\vee : H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow (C(X)/m)^\vee$ is bijective for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.*

Proof. From the assumption $r(\overline{X}) = 0$ and Thm. 4.2, ρ_X and hence $\rho_{X,m}$ has dense image. On the dual groups, $\rho_{X,m}^\vee$ is injective for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. In the following, we show that $\rho_{X,m}^\vee$ is surjective.

(Prime to p -part) For $m \in \mathbb{N}'$, we have an isomorphism $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)/m \simeq \pi_1^{\text{t,ab}}(X)/m$ of finite groups as noted in the proof of Thm. 4.6 (cf. (20)). Since $\rho_{X,m}$ is an injective homomorphism of finite groups (Lem. 4.4), the dual $\rho_{X,m}^\vee$ becomes surjective.

(p -part) Instead of using \mathbb{Z}/p^n with \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} in (13), for each $x \in X_\infty$, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \longrightarrow & H_{\text{Gal}}^1(k(x), \mathbb{Z}/p^n) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{Gal}}^1(k(X)_x, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) & \longrightarrow & H_x^2(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \simeq \downarrow \rho_{k(x),p^n}^\vee & & \simeq \downarrow \rho_{k(X)_x,p^n}^\vee & & \downarrow \phi_{x,p^n} & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & (k(x)^\times/p^n)^\vee & \longrightarrow & (K_2(k(X)_x)/p^n)^\vee & \longrightarrow & (U^0 K_2(k(X)_x)/p^n)^\vee & \longrightarrow \end{array},$$

where the middle vertical map is bijective (3). As in (15), the localization sequence and (3) give the following diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \longrightarrow & H^1(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} H_x^2(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) & \xrightarrow{j} H^2(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \\ & \simeq \downarrow \rho_{\overline{X},p^n}^\vee & & \downarrow \rho_{X,p^n}^\vee & & \downarrow \phi & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & (C(\overline{X})/p^n)^\vee & \longrightarrow & (C(X)/p^n)^\vee & \xrightarrow{i} & \bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} (U^0 K_2(k(X)_x)/p^n)^\vee & \longrightarrow \end{array},$$

where $\phi := \bigoplus \phi_{x,p^n}$. From Cor. 5.2, ρ_{X,p^n}^\vee is bijective.

Claim. $\text{Im}(i) \subset \text{Im}(\phi)$.

Proof. The map i can be written as the composition

$$(C(X)/p^n)^\vee \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} (K_2(k(X)_x)/p^n)^\vee \xrightarrow{\bigoplus i_x} \bigoplus_{x \in X_\infty} (U^0 K_2(k(X)_x)/p^n)^\vee,$$

where the first map is given by the natural map $K_2(k(X)_x) \rightarrow C(X)$ for each $x \in X_\infty$ and the latter which is denoted by $\oplus i_x$ is induced from the inclusion $U^0 K_2(k(X)_x) \hookrightarrow K_2(k(X)_x)$ for each $x \in X_\infty$. For each $x \in X_\infty$, as in (13), there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{Gal}}^1(k(X)_x, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) & \longrightarrow & H_x^2(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \\ \rho_{k(X)_x, p^n}^\vee \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \phi_{x, p^n} \\ (K_2(k(X)_x)/p^n)^\vee & \xrightarrow{i_x} & (U^0 K_2(k(X)_x)/p^n)^\vee. \end{array}$$

Here, the left vertical map is bijective (3) and the claim follows. \square

(Proof of Prop. 5.3 - continued) To show that ρ_{X, p^n}^\vee is surjective, take $\varphi \in (C(X)/p^n)^\vee$. From the above Claim, there exists $\gamma \in \bigoplus_x H_x^2(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ such that $i(\varphi) = \phi(\gamma)$. From $H^2(\overline{X} \otimes_k \overline{k}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) = 0$ ([3], Exp. X, Cor. 5.2) and Cor. 5.2, there exists a finite Galois extension k' of k such that the image of $j(\gamma)$ by the homomorphism $\overline{f}^* : H^2(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \rightarrow H^2(\overline{X}', \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ becomes zero, where $\overline{f} : \overline{X}' := \overline{X} \otimes_k k' \rightarrow \overline{X}$ is the projection. Put also $X' := X \otimes_k k'$ and let $f : X' \rightarrow X$ be the induced morphism. In this setting, we have the norm homomorphism $N := N_{X'/X} : C(X') \rightarrow C(X)$ defined in Def. 3.7. By (10), this makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & H^1(X', \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \\ \rho_{X, p^n}^\vee \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{X', p^n}^\vee \\ (C(X)/p^n)^\vee & \xrightarrow{N_{p^n}^\vee} & (C(X')/p^n)^\vee, \end{array}$$

where $N_{p^n}^\vee$ is the induced homomorphism by $N = N_{X'/X}$. Thus, there exists $\chi' \in H^1(X', \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ such that $\varphi' := N_{p^n}^\vee(\varphi) = \rho_{X', p^n}^\vee(\chi')$ in $(C(\overline{X}')/p^n)^\vee$ by the diagram chase. It is left to show that φ comes from $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$.

Let H be the p -Sylow subgroup of $G := \text{Gal}(k'/k)$ and k_H the fixed field of H in k' . Putting $X_H := X \otimes_k k_H$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H^1(X_H, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \\ & & \downarrow \rho_{X_H, p^n}^\vee & & \downarrow \rho_{X, p^n}^\vee \\ (C(X)/p^n)^\vee & \xrightarrow{N_{X_H/X, p^n}^\vee} & (C(X_H)/p^n)^\vee & \xrightarrow{i_{X_H/X, p^n}^\vee} & (C(X)/p^n)^\vee \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & [k_H : k] & & \end{array}$$

is commutative by (10). From Lem. 3.8, we have $N_{X_H/X} \circ i_{X_H/X} = [k_H : k] \text{id}_{C(X)}$. Since the order of φ is a power of p , using the above diagram, we may assume $k_H = k$ and

$G = \text{Gal}(k'/k)$ is a p -group. Take a field extensions $k = k_0 \subset k_1 \subset \cdots \subset k_s = k'$ such that k_{i+1}/k_i is a cyclic extension of degree p . By induction on i , we may assume that the Galois group G is a cyclic group of the order p . We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & G^\vee & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & H^1(X', \mathbb{Z}/p^n)^G \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{X, p^n}^\vee & & \downarrow \rho_{X', p^n}^\vee \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(N_{p^n}^\vee) & \longrightarrow & (C(X)/p^n)^\vee & \xrightarrow{N_{p^n}^\vee} & (C(X')/p^n)^\vee \xrightarrow{G} 0
\end{array} \tag{23}$$

The upper horizontal sequence is exact which comes from the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence $H_{\text{Gal}}^s(G, H^t(X', \mathbb{Z}/p^n)) \Rightarrow H^{s+t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ associated with $f : X' \rightarrow X$ (cf. [3], Exp. VIII, Cor. 8.5) and $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) = 0$ ([26], Prop. 6.5.10). Since $\varphi' = N_{p^n}^\vee(\varphi)$ is fixed by G and ρ_{X', p^n}^\vee is injective, χ' is also fixed by G . From the diagram (23), it is enough to show that $\text{Ker}(N_{p^n}^\vee) \simeq G^\vee$. Since the left vertical map in (23) is injective, the lemma below implies that φ comes from an element of $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ and thus ρ_{X, p^n}^\vee is surjective. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let k'/k be a Galois extension of $[k' : k] = p$. We assume that the base change $X' := X \otimes_k k' \rightarrow X$ is not a completely split covering. Then, the following sequence is exact:*

$$0 \longrightarrow G^\vee \longrightarrow C(X)^\vee \xrightarrow{N^\vee} C(X')^\vee,$$

where $G = \text{Gal}(k'/k)$ and $N = N_{X'/X}$.

Proof. A character $\psi \in \text{Ker}(N^\vee)$ induces an element ψ_x of $K_2(k(X)_x)^\vee$ for each $x \in X_\infty$. Since ψ_x is in the kernel of $N_{k'(X)_x/k(X)_x}^\vee : K_2(k(X)_x)^\vee \rightarrow K_2(k'(X)_x)^\vee$, the corresponding character $\chi_x := (\rho_{k(X)_x}^\vee)^{-1}(\psi_x) \in H^1(k(X)_x)$ (Thm. 2.3 (i)) is annihilated by the unramified extension $k'(X)_x/k(X)_x$. In particular, χ_x is unramified so that ψ_x annihilates $U^0 K_2(k(X)_x)$ (Thm. 2.3 (ii)). Thus, the assertion is reduced to the case of $X = \overline{X}$, that is, the exactness of

$$0 \longrightarrow G^\vee \longrightarrow C(\overline{X})^\vee \xrightarrow{N_{\overline{X}'/\overline{X}}^\vee} C(\overline{X}')^\vee.$$

This follows from Cor. 5.1. \square

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose that we have $r(\overline{X}) = 0$. Then, the dual of the reciprocity homomorphism $\rho_X^\vee : \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)^\vee \rightarrow C(X)^\vee$ is bijective.*

Proof. We use $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X)^\vee \simeq H^1(X, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})$ (14). The injectivity of ρ_X^\vee follows from Thm. 4.2. Take any $\varphi \in C(X)^\vee$. Since φ has finite order, φ defines $\varphi_m \in (C(X)/m)^\vee$ for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(X, \mathbf{Z}/m) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \\ \rho_{X,m}^\vee \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \rho_X^\vee \\ (C(X)/m)^\vee & \longrightarrow & C(X)^\vee \end{array}$$

where the left vertical map is bijective (Prop. 5.3). Therefore, one can find $\chi \in H^1(X, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})$ such that $\rho_X^\vee(\chi) = \varphi$ and hence ρ_X^\vee is surjective. \square

References

- [1] A. Abbes and T. Saito, *Ramification of local fields with imperfect residue fields*, Amer. J. Math. **124** (2002), no. 5, 879–920.
- [2] ———, *Analyse micro-locale l -adique en caractéristique $p > 0$: le cas d’un trait*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **45** (2009), no. 1, 25–74.
- [3] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, and J.-L. Verdier, *Theorie de topos et cohomologie étale des schémas I, II, III*, (SGA 4), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 269, 270, 305, Springer, 1971.
- [4] P. Deligne, *Cohomologie étale*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 569, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA 4 $\frac{1}{2}$, Avec la collaboration de J. F. Boutot, A. Grothendieck, L. Illusie et J. L. Verdier.
- [5] I. B. Fesenko, *Topological Milnor K -groups of higher local fields*, Invitation to higher local fields (Münster, 1999), Geom. Topol. Monogr., vol. 3, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2000, pp. 61–74 (electronic).
- [6] ———, *Sequential topologies and quotients of Milnor K -groups of higher local fields*, Algebra i Analiz **13** (2001), no. 3, 198–221.
- [7] I. B. Fesenko and S. V. Vostokov, *Local fields and their extensions*, second ed., Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 121, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002, With a foreword by I. R. Shafarevich.
- [8] P. Forré, *The kernel of the reciprocity map of varieties over local fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **698** (2015), 55–69.
- [9] L. Fu, *Etale cohomology theory*, Nankai Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 13, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2011.

- [10] A. Grothendieck, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–1961 (SGA 1), Dirigé par Alexandre Grothendieck. Augmenté de deux exposés de M. Raynaud, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 224.
- [11] T. Hiranouchi, *Class field theory for open curves over p -adic fields*, Math. Z. **266** (2010), no. 1, 107–113.
- [12] L. Illusie, *Complexe de de Rham-Witt*, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique de Rennes (Rennes, 1978), Vol. I, Astérisque, vol. 63, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1979, pp. 83–112.
- [13] U. Jannsen and S. Saito, *Kato homology of arithmetic schemes and higher class field theory over local fields*, Doc. Math. (2003), Extra Vol., 479–538 (electronic), Kazuya Kato’s fiftieth birthday.
- [14] K. Kato, *A generalization of local class field theory by using K -groups. I*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **26** (1979), no. 2, 303–376.
- [15] ———, *A generalization of local class field theory by using K -groups. II*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **27** (1980), no. 3, 603–683.
- [16] ———, *Galois cohomology of complete discrete valuation fields*, Algebraic K -theory, Part II (Oberwolfach, 1980), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 967, Springer, Berlin, 1982, pp. 215–238.
- [17] ———, *Swan conductors for characters of degree one in the imperfect residue field case*, Algebraic K -theory and algebraic number theory (Honolulu, HI, 1987), Contemp. Math., vol. 83, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989, pp. 101–131.
- [18] K. Kato and S. Saito, *Two-dimensional class field theory*, Galois groups and their representations (Nagoya, 1981), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 2, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983, pp. 103–152.
- [19] ———, *Global class field theory of arithmetic schemes*, Applications of algebraic K -theory to algebraic geometry and number theory, Part I, II (Boulder, Colo., 1983), Contemp. Math., vol. 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, pp. 255–331.
- [20] A. S. Merkur’ev and A. A. Suslin, *K -cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and the norm residue homomorphism*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **46** (1982), no. 5, 1011–1046, 1135–1136.
- [21] J. S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 33, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
- [22] ———, *Arithmetic duality theorems*, second ed., BookSurge, LLC, Charleston, SC, 2006.

- [23] J. Milnor, *Algebraic K-theory and quadratic forms*, Invent. Math. **9** (1969/1970), 318–344.
- [24] ———, *Introduction to algebraic K-theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 72.
- [25] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 322, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, With a foreword by G. Harder.
- [26] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, and K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of number fields*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 323, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [27] W. Raskind, *Abelian class field theory of arithmetic schemes, K-theory and algebraic geometry: connections with quadratic forms and division algebras* (Santa Barbara, CA, 1992), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 58, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 85–187.
- [28] S. Saito, *Class field theory for curves over local fields*, J. Number Theory **21** (1985), no. 1, 44–80.
- [29] ———, *A global duality theorem for varieties over global fields*, Algebraic K-theory: connections with geometry and topology (Lake Louise, AB, 1987), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 279, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1989, pp. 425–444.
- [30] J.-P. Serre, *Corps locaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1968, Deuxième édition, Publications de l'Université de Nancago, No. VIII.
- [31] T. Yamazaki, *Class field theory for a product of curves over a local field*, Math. Z. **261** (2009), no. 1, 109–121.
- [32] ———, *The Brauer-Manin pairing, class field theory, and motivic homology*, Nagoya Math. J. **210** (2013), 29–58.
- [33] T. Yoshida, *Finiteness theorems in the class field theory of varieties over local fields*, J. Number Theory **101** (2003), no. 1, 138–150.

Toshiro Hiranouchi

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University 1-3-1
Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima, 739-8526 JAPAN

Email address: hira@hiroshima-u.ac.jp